

Poster Score Sheet

C6 Robert F Barnes Graduate Student Poster Competition

Presentations are judged on how well the research is described and the results presented, quality of research, interpretation of results, and visual appeal of the poster.

Circle your rating (5 = excellent; 4 = very good; 3 = good; 2 = poor; and 1 = unsatisfactory).

A total of 100 points may be awarded for the poster.

Presenter Name: _____

Poster#: _____

Title of Poster: _____

1. Presentation Quality (0-25 points)

- a. Introduction and background clearly described: 1 2 3 4 5
- b. Clearly and concisely explains methods and materials: 1 2 3 4 5
- c. Data clearly and concisely presented: 1 2 3 4 5
- d. Graphs clearly illustrate data and are labeled: 1 2 3 4 5
- e. References used: 1 2 3 4 5

2. Originality and Quality of Research (0-25 points)

- a. Research emphasized rationale: 1 2 3 4 5
- b. Objectives clearly described: 1 2 3 4 5
- c. Research designed to meet objectives: 1 2 3 4 5
- d. Research was pertinent: 1 2 3 4 5
- e. Research included a new idea or approach: 1 2 3 4 5

3. Interpretation of the Results (0-25 points)

- a. Appropriate experimental design: 1 2 3 4 5
- b. Appropriate and accurate data analysis: 1 2 3 4 5
- c. Statistical significance of results pointed out: 1 2 3 4 5
- d. Conclusions and recommendations were meaningful: 1 2 3 4 5
- e. Conclusions emphasize significance: 1 2 3 4 5

4. Visual Appeal (0-25 points)

- a. Easily read by the audience, large enough font: 1 2 3 4 5
- b. Easy to follow the sequence of the content: 1 2 3 4 5
- c. Important materials emphasized: 1 2 3 4 5
- d. Avoided overly-complex tables and graphics: 1 2 3 4 5
- e. Sufficient "white-space" provided between components: 1 2 3 4 5

Total score (0-100) _____

Comments for improvement, to be shared with the presenter (required by judges):

Ranking of Poster _____ (to be completed by award chairperson)

Oral Presentation Score Sheet

C6 Robert F Barnes Graduate Student Oral Competition

Presentations are judged on how well the research is described (effective communication to diverse audience) and the results presented (effective communication), quality of research, use of visual aids, and response to judges' questions.

Circle your rating (5 = excellent; 4 = very good; 3 = good; 2 = poor; and 1 = unsatisfactory). A total of 100 points may be awarded for the presentation.

Presenter Name: _____

Title of Presentation: _____

1. Presentation Quality (0-25 points)

- a. Introduction and background clearly described: 1 2 3 4 5
- b. Clearly and concisely explains methods and materials: 1 2 3 4 5
- c. Data clearly and concisely presented: 1 2 3 4 5
- d. Use and quality of visual aids: 1 2 3 4 5
- e. References used: 1 2 3 4 5

2. Originality and Quality of Research (0-25 points)

- a. Research emphasized rationale: 1 2 3 4 5
- b. Objectives clearly described: 1 2 3 4 5
- c. Research designed to meet objectives: 1 2 3 4 5
- d. Research was original: 1 2 3 4 5
- e. Research included a new idea or approach: 1 2 3 4 5

3. Interpretation of the Results (0-25 points)

- a. Appropriate experimental design: 1 2 3 4 5
- b. Appropriate and accurate data analysis: 1 2 3 4 5
- c. Statistical significance of results pointed out: 1 2 3 4 5
- d. Conclusions and recommendations were meaningful: 1 2 3 4 5
- e. Conclusions emphasize significance: 1 2 3 4 5

4. Speaker Presentation (0-25 points)

- a. Delivery (voice level, inflection, pace of presentation, eye contact with audience) 1 2 3 4 5
- b. Professionalism (dress, posture, appropriate terminology) 1 2 3 4 5
- c. Questions repeated 1 2 3 4 5
- d. Questions answered effectively, demonstrates their knowledge 2 4 6 8 10

Total score (0-100) _____

Comments for improvement, to be shared with the presenter (required by judges):

Ranking of Presentation _____ (to be completed by award chairperson)